Docket No.: 1209-0171PUS2 Reply to Office Action of March 25, 2010

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1. (Previously presented) Microfluidic arrangement which comprises:

A) one or more microfluidic devices, each of which comprises a set (set I) of one or more

essentially equal microchannel structures that are comprised within a common generally

planar layer of the device (layer I),

each of said microchannel structures comprises an internal microconduit portion in which an

active liquid flow is used; and

B) an instrument, which is intended for processing said one or more microfluidic devices

and comprises a spinner motor and a rotary member,

wherein

· I) said rotary member comprises not less than 10 and not more than 1000 of seats

for holding at least one of said one or more microfluidic devices, each of said

seats

i) is capable of being positioned at the same radial distance as any of the

other seats of the group, and

ii) aligns layer I essentially radially at an angle α relative to the spin plane

where $45^{\circ} \le \alpha \le 90^{\circ}$;

II) said internal microconduit portion has an upstream part that can be positioned

at a shorter radial distance than a downstream part when the corresponding

microfluidic device is placed in any of said one or more seats.

Page 2 of 13

Application No.: 10/537,318

Reply to Office Action of March 25, 2010

Docket No.: 1209-0171PUS2

Page 3 of 13

2. (Previously presented) The arrangement of claim 1, wherein the seats are adjustable in

the radial and/or the axial direction.

3. (Previously presented) The arrangement of claim 1, wherein the seats are at a fixed radial

position.

4. (Previously presented) The arrangement of any of claims 1-3, wherein each of said

devices has two planar surfaces that are parallel to layer I and typically are rectangular with

preference for each of said devices being disc-shaped.

5. (Previously presented) The arrangement of claim 1, wherein the seats are capable of

holding layer I of each of the microfluidic devices at different angles relative to the radius

passing through the seat concerned at angles of 0°, 90° and/or 180°.

6. (Previously presented) The arrangement of claim 1, wherein the microfluidic device

comprises:

i) two essentially planar and parallel opposite sides, and edge sides,

ii) a set of one, two, three or more essentially equal microchannel structures, each of which

comprises a first inlet arrangement comprising an inlet port IP I₁,

wherein

a) each of the inlet ports is present in an edge side, and

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Application No.: 10/537,318

Reply to Office Action of March 25, 2010

Docket No.: 1209-0171PUS2

Page 4 of 13

b) the wettability of the inner walls of said first inlet arrangement permits penetration by

self-suction (capillarity) of at least a predetermined first volume of an aqueous liquid which

is contacted with said one or more inlet ports.

7. (Withdrawn) A microfluidic device comprising

i) two essentially planar and parallel opposite sides, and edge sides,

ii) a set of one, two, three or more essentially equal microchannel structures, each of which

comprises a first inlet arrangement comprising an inlet port IP I₁,

wherein

a) each of the inlet ports is present in an edge side, and

b) the wettability of the inner walls of said first inlet arrangement permits penetration by

self-suction (capillarity) of at least a predetermined first volume of an aqueous liquid which

is contacted with said one or more inlet ports.

8. (Withdrawn) The microfluidic device of claim 7, wherein said first inlet arrangement is

common for more than one of the microchannel structures, such as all microchannel structures of

the set.

9. (Withdrawn) The microfluidic device of claim 7, wherein

a) each of said microchannel structures comprises a second inlet arrangement comprising an

additional inlet port IP I₂ which inlet arrangement and inlet port are connected to only

one of the microchannel structures or is common for two or more microchannel

structures,

Application No.: 10/537,318 Docket No.: 1209-0171PUS2 Page 5 of 13

Reply to Office Action of March 25, 2010

b) the wettability of the inner walls of the second inlet arrangement permits penetration by

self-suction (capillarity) of at least a predetermined second volume of an aqueous liquid

which is contacted with IP I₂.

10. (Withdrawn) The microfluidic device of claim 7, wherein either one or both of IP I₁ and

IP I₂, if present, is/are part of a protrusion that is integral with or extends from the surface of the

device.

11. (Withdrawn) The microfluidic device of claim 7, wherein

a) at least one of said first and/or said second inlet arrangement, if present, comprises one

volume-metering unit per microchannel structure associated with the arrangement, and

b) said volume-metering unit has an outlet end associated with a valve function, preferably

passive, which controls liquid transport through said outlet end into downstream parts of

the microchannel structure that is associated with the volume-metering unit.

12. (Withdrawn) The microfluidic device of claim 11, wherein

a) the inlet port of either one or both of said first and second inlet arrangements, if present,

is fluidly connected to only one microchannel structure and

b) the volume-metering unit preferably has an overflow channel for defining the volume to

be metered in the unit.

13. (Withdrawn) The microfluidic device of claim 11, wherein the inlet port of either one or

both of said first and second inlet arrangements if present, is fluidly connected to two or more of

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

CJB/IK/mua

Application No.: 10/537,318 Docket No.: 1209-0171PUS2 Page 6 of 13

Reply to Office Action of March 25, 2010

the microchannel structures via a distribution manifold containing one volume-metering unit per

microchannel structure that is in fluid communication with the inlet port.

14. (Withdrawn) The microfluidic device of claim 13, wherein said distribution manifold

comprises an excess microconduit that is common for all the volume-metering units of the

manifold.

. 1

15. (Withdrawn) The microfluidic device of claim 9, wherein said wettability/hydrophilicity

is present from IP I1 or IP I2, if present, to said valve function in each volume-metering unit

connected to the inlet port concerned, thereby permitting filling by capillarity said inlet part to

said valve function with said aqueous liquid.

16. (Withdrawn) The microfluidic device of claim 11, wherein

a) each of the volume-metering units is capable of metering a liquid volume in the nanolitre

range, e.g. ≤ 5000 nl such as ≤ 1000 nl or ≤ 500 nl or ≤ 100 nl, and

b) each of said predetermined first and second (if present) volume is essentially equal to the

sum of the volumes of liquids to be metered in the volume-metering units associated with

the inlet arrangement/inlet port concerned.

(Withdrawn) The microfluidic device of claim 7, wherein the inlet port(s) (IP II) of the 17.

first inlet arrangement(s) is(are) present on one side, and the inlet port(s) (IP I2) of the second

inlet arrangemnt(s), if present, is(are) present on a different side, preferably at least one of the IP

Ils and IP I2s is present on an edge side or on different edge sides.

Application No.: 10/537,318 Docket No.: 1209-0171PUS2 Page 7 of 13

Reply to Office Action of March 25, 2010

(Withdrawn) The microfluidic device of claim 7, wherein it is manufactured from at 18.

least two essentially planar substrates, one, two or more of which define the individual

microchannel structures.

19. (Withdrawn) The microfluidic device of claim 7, wherein

(i) each of said microchannel structures extends in a layer of the device which layer is

essentially parallel with said two opposite sides,

(ii) each of said microchannel structures comprises downstream one to said inlet

arrangements an internal microconduit portion in which active fluid flow can be used for the

transportation of liquid, reagents, analytes and the like, and

preferably corresponding parts of the microconduit portion of each of said microchannel

structures are at essentially the same distance from said first edge side.

20. (Previously presented) The arrangement of claim 1, wherein α is essentially equal to 90°.

21. (Currently amended) The arrangement of claim 1, wherein said rotary member

comprises a group of one or more seats for holding at least one of said one or more microfluidic

devices, each of said seats

i)is capable of being positioned at the same radial distance as any of the other seats of the

group,

ii) aligns layer I essentially radially at an angle α relative to the spin plane where $45^{\circ} \le \alpha \le$

90°, and

Application No.: 10/537,318 Docket No.: 1209-0171PUS2 Page 8 of 13

Reply to Office Action of March 25, 2010

iii) is <u>further</u> capable of positioning the corresponding positions in said microconduit portion

of said microchannel structures in any of said one or more microfluidic devices at essentially

the same radial distance.

22. (Cancelled)

23. (New) The arrangement of claim 1, wherein each microfluidic device comprises at least

50 microchannel structures.